

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

**CHRISTOPHER KNOX,**

Plaintiff,

V.

**DR. MARVIN POWERS, and  
TERRY CALIPER, R.N.,**

Defendants.

Civil No. **09-341-DRH-CJP**

## ORDER

**PROUD, Magistrate Judge:**

Before the Court is plaintiff's Motion to Compel. (**Doc. 54**). Defendants filed responses at **Docs. 55 & 58**.

Plaintiff is seeking responses to his requests for production. Defendants Powers sought and was granted an extension of time in which to respond to the requests. **See, Doc. 53.**

Defendant Caliper's response to the motion states that she timely responded. **See, Doc. 55.**

In a “reply” to defendant Caliper’s response, **Doc. 61**, plaintiff complains for the first time that Caliper did not produce all of the requested documents. It is evident from Caliper’s responses, attached to **Doc. 55**, that she objected to some of plaintiff’s discovery requests.

Plaintiff cannot challenge the propriety of defendant's objections by way of a reply. Rather, if he wants the Court to rule on the objections, he must file a motion to compel raising that issue, to which defendant may then respond.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's Motion to Compel (**Doc. 54**) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATE: May 19, 2010.**

**s/ Clifford J. Proud**  
**CLIFFORD J. PROUD**  
**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**